#### 30/7/1019

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR WRIT PETITION (C) NO. /2019

PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami

**VERSUS** 

RESPONDENTS

Union Of India & Ors.

#### INDEX

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

7.	6.	2.	4.	w	2.	<u>.                                    </u>	No.	SI.
Vakalatnama	Application for interim relief alongwith affidavit	Copy of the order dated 16.05.2009	Copy of the NIA Act, 2008	Writ Petition alongwith affidavit	Synopsis	Index.		Particulars -
		P-2	P-1		A-8		marked	Annexure
ن در	-FC-15	ω	23-31	1-22	A - B.		No.	Page

Date 30/7/1019

SUBEEP AGRAWAL COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER AGRAWAL)

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [C] NO.\_\_\_\_\_/2019

PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami

#### VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

Union Of India & Ors

# SYNOPSIS AND CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF DATES AND EVENTS

beyond the National Investigation Agency Act, 2008 as ultra vires to the alternative, the petitioner seeks to declare Section 6, on the ground that the said Act, in its present form and nature, is constitutional validity of the National Investigation Agency, 2008 The Constitution of India. present the legislative competence of the Parliament. Writ Petition is preferred challenging 7, 8 & 10 of In an

#### UAIL

#### EVENT

31.12.2008

and other international organisations and for offences affecting the sovereignty, security and The resolutions of the United Nations, its agencies treaties, relations with foreign States and offences under integrity of India, national level to investigate constitute Investigation Agency Act, 2008 with an aim to enacted **Parliament** an agreements, investigation agency to security of State, friendly enacted implement conventions and prosecute the international National at the and

B

matters connected therewith or incidental thereto. The Act was brought into force on 31.12.2008.

10.04.2019

38 & 39 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, Dantewada (C.G.) under Section(s) 147, 148, An FIR bearing four police personnel in a Naxal Attack. BhimaMandavi(MLA) Explosive Substance Act and Section 13(1)(a), 149, 302, 396, 307 & 120(B) of IPC, Section 25 registered of the Arms Act, relating at P.S. FIR to Kuakonda, Dantewada No. Section 3 the 11 death & 5 of the District 2019 was alongwith

16.05.2019

The Respondent No. 1 – Union of India, in exercise of power under Section 6(5) read with Section 8 of the NIA Act, passed an order directing the NIA i.e., Respondent No. 2 hereinto take up the investigation of the FIR No. 11 / 2019.

Date 30/7/2019

(SUDEEP ACTRAWAL Counsel for Petitioner

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [C] NO.\_\_\_\_\_/ 2019

#### PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami, S/o Bhima Oyami, aged about 48 years, R/o NH-23, Gayatapara, Keshapur, Dantewada, District-South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.)

#### VERSUS

#### RESPONDENTS

- Union of India
  Through its Secretary
  Ministry of Home Affairs
  North Block, New Delhi 110001
- National Investigation Agency
   Through its Director General
   NIA Headquarters, CGO Complex
   Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003
- 3. State of Chhattisgarh
  Through Secretary,
  Department of Home
  Mahanadi Bhavan,
  Mantralaya, Naya Raipur
- 4. The Station House Officer [SHO]
  Police Station, Kuakonda,
  District Dantewada,
  Chhattisgarh





# WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION

#### OF INDIA

## PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:

Assembly, Dantewada while working as security guard of the Member of Legislative whose brother was a Head Constable in the 9th battalion Security Petitioner is a citizen of India and resident of State of Chhattisgarh Dantewada and was martyred in the untoward incident

## 2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:

alongwith four police personnel bearing FIR No. 11/2019 registered at P.S. Kuakonda, Dantewada police. The Respondent No. 4 is the Station House Officer, Police Home (C.G.), relating Station ministry 2008. The Respondent No. 2 is the Director General of the National represented through Home Investigation Agency who is responsible for the administration of National Investigation Agency as per Section 4 (1) of the Act of The Respondent No. 1 is Union of India through the Ministry of agency, Affairs having Kuakonda which is seized of the investigation in the FIR The to the death of which control Respondent Si and the Department which is superintending BhimaMandavi (MLA) Dantewada superintendence over No.  $\omega$ is State authority of Chhattisgarh the the of the nodal



#### ယ္ PARTICULARS OF THE CAUSE/ORDER AGAINST WHICH THE PETITION IS BEING MADE AND THE SUBJECT MATTER Z

#### BRIEF:

powers of the State as enshrined under the Constitution of India. By way of the present petition, the Petitioner herein is challenging exclusive powers of the State investigation of any offence committed within its whereby the Investigating Agency Act, 2008 more particular section 6 to 10 2008 on the ground that the same has been enacted beyond constitutional validity of the National Investigation Agency legislative whims of the State Government has been debarred from making petition competence Central Government thereby usurping the is against of the the Parliament infringing the provisions jurisdiction of National

### WHETHER CAVEAT FILED PETITION SUPPLIED TO THE CAVEATOR IF YES, WHETHER COPY OF THE

No

## DETAILS OF THE REMEDIES EXHAUSTED

other remedy under the law except for approaching this Hon'ble The Petitioner submits before this Hon'ble Court that there Court under Article 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India



### 9 MATTER NOT PREVIOUSLY FILED OR PENDING

other court or Tribunals within the territorial jurisdiction of this present Writ Petition is not previously filed or pending The Hon'ble Court. Petitioner declares that the subject matter raised in ij. any

### 7 DELAY, IF ANY, IN FILING OF THE PETITION

present writ petition before this Hon'ble Court. Petitioner declares that there is no delay ij filing of the

### 8. FACTS OF THE CASE:

6.1 constitutional validity was enacted by the Parliament in the year 2008 and was brought The in effect on 31.12.2008. Copy of the NIA Act, 2008 is filed here as Agency Act, 2008 [hereinafter referred to as the "NIA Act"] which **ANNEXURE P-1** subject matter of and vires the present of the petition National Investigation relates to the

6.2 offences Mandavi. in the convoy of Member of Legislative Assembly namely Bhima Dantewada who was martyred on 09.04.2019 in a bomb explosion member of security force posted in the 9th Batallion Security Force registered at P.S. Kuakonda, District petitioner is resident of Dantewada and his brother was under Section(s) 147, 148, 149, 302, 396, 307 & 120(B) In the said incident an FIR bearing FIR No. 11 / Dantewada (C.G.) for 2019

 $\bigcirc$ 

Explosive Substance Act and Section 13(1)(a), 38 & 39 of Unlawful BhimaMandavi(MLA) Dantewada alongwith four police personnel Activities Naxal Attack including the brother of petitioner. Section (Prevention) 25 27 Act, of the Arms Act, Section 1967 relating to the ယ of

6.3 dated That the police authorities were conducting investigation in the matter however it has come to knowledge that the respondent and situation of the State so as to efficiently and independently already performing their part and are well versed with the facts for investigation without any reason whereas the State police was Union of India had passed an order No. 11011/19/2019/NIA to investigate over and above the state police have taken up the circumstances relevant for proper investigation of Copy of the order dated 16.05.2019 is filed here as ANNEXURE P-16.05.2019 and respondent no.2 had taken up the matter for the matter. The respondent no.2 having no authority investigation without even knowing the the matter. ground

12

matter of the State under Entry - 2, List - II, Schedule The otherwise is being carried out through Police, which is a subject Constitution of India and is beyond the legislative competence of Petitioner Parliament since the to submits create that the NIA Act isultra an agency NIA Act for empowers "investigation", vires the Central to

- 6.5 but also confers huge discretionary and arbitrary powers on the conducting investigation by the State Government through Police The NIA Act, in its present form,not only takes away the power of exercise its power at any point of time without providing any power which gives ample discretion to the Central Government to Central Government without any rules governing the exercise of reason or justification for the same
- 6.6 leave the territorial jurisdiction of the State. The provisions of the act [including the scheduled offence] which has been committed in completely takes away the power of State to investigate offence This scheme of NIA act is such that once brought in motion, it as envisaged under the Constitution of India government which clearly repudiates the idea of state sovereignty any form whatsoever, by the Central government from the State no room of coordination and pre-condition of consent, in
- 6.7 The NIA Act, in its essence and spirit, is not only ultra vires to the independent in their respective jurisdiction. our country wherein both Centre and State are considered to be Constitution of India but is also against the federal structure of
- 6.8 It is submitted that ""Police" investigate offence(s).A holistic "Police" Entry the 2, List - II i.e., State List inSchedule 7 and, as provided was placed under List- II as the subject matter of State Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, has the power to is a subject matter falling under appreciation of the fact



provided in List 1 i.e., Centre List suggests that the framing of a entry of "Police" or even any incidental or ancillary entry was with power to investigate, and equally significant fact that no such of a State, was never the intention of constitution framer "investigation" agency having overriding powers over the Police legislation such as NIA Act by the Parliament, which creates an

6.9 It is submitted that the Parliament has acted beyond its legislative unbridled, uncontrolled and uncanalised power on the Central NIA Act is ultra vires to the Constitution of India. Moreover, the competence in framing and enacting the NIA Act and hence, the purpose and significance of Entry federal structure and sovereignty of State, but also tramples the Government to act arbitrarilyand whimsically against the spirit of on the following grounds:-Hence, the present petition is preferred before this Hon'ble Court in its present form and content, not only II, List II of Schedule confers

#### 9. GROUNDS:

- a) Because the NIA Act is unconstitutional and illegal inasmuch as legislation on matters contained in List-II, the State List the Union of India lacks legislative competence to enact the
- b) Because the legislative power to create a police agency by virtue of List-II of the Vilth Schedule of the Constitution of



the NIA Act creates a police force at the central level which can India vests exclusively with the State Governments and so far investigate legislative powers of the State Governments manifestly unconstitutional making encroachment on the offences committed in the States, the same is

- **C**) BECAUSE the NIA Act isultra vires to the Constitutionof India, subject matter of the State under Entry - 2, List - II, Schedule 7, which otherwise is being carried out through Police, which is a asmuch as, in pith and substance the NIA Actempowers the and therefore the Parliament lacks legislative competence to Central Government to create an agency for "investigation", enact the legislation on matters contained in List-II
- d) BECAUSE the term "investigation" as defined under Section 2 even though the subject matter of framing legislation relating nothing but a "National Police" National Investigation Agency, as created under the NIA Act, is Magistrate in this behalf'. Therefore, it clearly suggests that the person (other than a Magistrate) who is authorised the collection of evidence conducted by a police officer or by any "investigation includes all the proceedings under this Code for (h) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 stipulates that Schedule 7 of the Constitution of India ""Police" is clearly a State subject as per Entry for conducting investigation 2, List II,





- **BECAUSE** offence which otherwise is investigated by State Police incidents occurring in a particular State(s) involving scheduled policehaving offences is nothing butenacting a law for creating a national creation the overriding authority of NIA for investigation over State of scheduled Police Ħ.
- 1 BECAUSE Bureau of Intelligence and Investigation" as provided in Entry Ambedkar had stated and clarified that: Assembly List the 1, Schedule debates, term "investigation" arising out of "Central dated 7 was discussed in 29-08-1949, wherein the Constituent Dr. BR

"The point of the matter is, the word "investigation" here investigation into a crime because that' matter under the Criminal Procedure Code is left exclusively to a police officer. does not permit and will not permit the cover general enquiry for the purpose of finding out what is Union List. The word "investigation" therefore is intended to Police is exclusively a State subject; it has no place in the police officer under the, Criminal Procedure Code can do." the filing of a charge against an offender which only a This investigation is not investigation preparatory making of an

(emphasis supplied)

BECAUSE by no stretch of imagination, it can be said that the and no assistance can be derived from Entry-8 of List-I which NIA Act is within the legislative competence of the Parliament

0

it to say that by creating a National Investigation Agency, the Investigation (CBW and also an Intelligence Bureau (IB). Suffice for the simple Reason that there is already a Central Bureau of is entitled as Central Bureau of Intelligence and Investigation, rendered a nullity and a "national police" agency is created in power and purpose of "Police" under List - Hof Schedule 7 is in complete usurpation of the Entry-2 of List II. the form of NIA with overarching power under the NIA Act, is

- BECAUSE Section 6(5) & 6(6) of the NIA Act is ultra vires to the uncontrolled subject to any procedure, governed by any rules, and without Government to take over the investigation from the affording any reason whatsoever Constitution at any point of time and at any stagewithout being and is arbitrary and uncanalised for it confers unbridled, powers on the Central State
- <u>:</u> power, as enumerated under Schedule 7, since the matters BECAUSE by virtue of the language of Section 6 (4), 6(6) of the also contrary to the constitutional scheme of distribution of NIA Act, the mandate of Section 7, 8 and 10 of the NIA Act is generally investigatedby Police, has been taken away and the arising within the territorial jurisdiction of any State which are been rendered otiose meaning and purpose of Entry II, List- II of Schedule 7

- )BECAUSE Section 10 of the NIA Act is clearly ultra vires to the the purpose and intention of Section 10 is insignificant Central Government by virtue of Section 6(4) & 6(6) of NIA Act Constitution of India since with the power conferred on the
- ろ Procedure, 1973 and having regard to the scheme of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 the same is invalid the NIA Act is not a part of the Code of Criminal
- J legislate Because the NIA Act sets up a police force which another police force transgressing the powers of the State to of the NIA Act, 2008 which nothing but an establishment of from Entry-2 of the State List and sub-section (1) of Section 3 S evident
- m) Because the Police Act, 1861 is an Act for the regulation police where under Section in such manner as from time to time be ordered by the State under the State Government be deemed to be one police force authority of the police force. As per the provisions of Code of Government was designated as Government. for the purpose of the Police Act, 1861 and is to be constituted itself becomes ultravires Criminal Procedure only the Police is authorized to investigate exclusive with the State Government, the enactment NIA Act as the power Under to legislate the scheme 2 the entire with a supervising or controlling of respect the Police to Act Police Department of





- BECAUSE the combined reading of Section 6(4), 6(6), 7, 8 and division of powers allow the State Police to investigate or not, as Section 10 subject matter of State under List -Section 10 of the NIA Act dissolves the constitutional setup of setup by the Constitution. suggest, is clearly a breach of the division of power model conferring discretion on the Central Government, whether to taking away the power of investigation from the State Police or since "Police" is completely a domain II of Schedule 7 and by
- **BECAUSE** transgression of power by Central Government insofar as the ancillary entry, under List - I of Schedule 7 to suggest that the subject matter of Entry 2 i.e., Police is concerned. intention there of constitution is no entry, much less any framers was to incidental allow Oľ.
- 巴 BECAUSE encroaching upon the subject matter of the State i.e., ground of controlling the terrorism related incident which has inter-state and international linkages is highly misplaced and Police as provided in Entry 2, List enough powers conferred on the State Police to act upon cases Criminal Procedure, 1973, Indian Penal Code, 1860 there irrational extremism cases terrorism since or under the Police Act, 1861, the inter-state crimes II of Schedule including left Code on the

- q) BECAUSE it is a settled position of constitutional law as held by Kerala [1973 4 SCC 225] that Federalism is a basic structure the Hon'ble Supreme Court in KeshvanandaBharti v. State of of our Indian Constitution
- **BECAUSE** avoiding constitutional discord. collaborative federalism which prescribes that the Union and federalism Section 6 the provisions of the NIA Act, 2008, in particular and Governments should always work in harmony but is 7 of the also not harmonious to the principle of Act, not only defies the spirit
- **BECAUSE** have constitutional framework. The States are neither satellites nor the Union and the States, both are creature of constitution and Bommai v. Union of India [1994] 3 SCC 1 has observed that agents of the centre an the Hon'ble Supreme independent constitutional Court existence in the S.R. our
- Within greater power is conferred upon the Centre vis-à-vis the States Court also held that under the scheme BECAUSE in the does not mean that States are mere appendages of the Centre has the effect of or tends to have the effect of whittling down the Courts should not adopt an approach, cannot tamper with their powers. the sphere allotted to them, case of S.R. **Bommaithe** States are supreme. an interpretation, which of our Constitution, More particular, the Hon'ble Supreme



over is towards strengthening of Central Governments knowledge that over the last several decades, the trend the world and that even in USA the centre has become far more powerful result of advances in technological / scientific fields or otherwise. notwithstanding the obvious bias in that constitution in favor of conscious whittling down of the powers of the States States. reserved to the All this must put the court on guard against any States. It is 2 matter of common be it

BECAUSE of power under Section 6 of the Act can always be subjected to administered / applied in a reasonable manner or the exercise provision is valid and the judicial review, cannot in itself be a ground to assume that the background of constitutional validity. touchstone merely that Section 6 of legislative competence same may not of the Act of 2008\_can be or (emphasis supplied) be tested on the on the larger

v) BECAUSE the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Collector of Customs NathellaSampathuChetty[AIR 1962 SC 316] in para 34

#### "Para 34

held that:

not impart to it any element of invalidity. must also follow that a statute which is otherwise invalid The possibility of abuse of a statute otherwise valid does being unreasonable cannot be saved by The converse



administered in a reasonable manner. validity of the statute would have to be determined on the basis of its provisions and on the ambit of its operation as improperly used is no ground for pronouncing the law reasonableness, possibility of the powers conferred being reasonably construed. If so judged it passes the test of itself invalid and similarly if the law properly interpreted and tested in the light of the requirements set out in Part as laying down that a law which might operate, harshly pronounced valid merely because it is administered in a III of the Constitution does not pass the test it cannot be justness ought not to guide the actual administration of always with harshness but still be constitutionally valid should be requirements. In saying this we are not to be understood manner which might not conflict with the constitutional such laws." or that reasonableness The constitutional operated

Hon'ble Supreme Court in (emphasis supplied) the case

of.

ShreyaSinghal v. Union of India [2015 5 SCC 1] held that:

w) BECAUSE

the

Solicitor General's argument is to be accepted. If Section which would "Para 96. In this case, it is the converse proposition otherwise really apply if invalid, it cannot be saved by an the learned Additional



 $\succeq$ 

3

assurance from the present Government even if carried out faithfully would Section Governments that it will be administered in a reasonable manner. not bind any successor Government. It must, therefore, be held that Section 66-A must be judged on its own merits administered." without 66-A goes on any may come and Governments may go but learned Additional Solicitor General forever. to how An assurance from the well may

**BECAUSE** Investigation within the territorial limits of the State, held that: SCC 551 while examining the jurisdiction of Central Bureau of BhaveshJayantiLakhani v. State of Maharashtra, (2009) 9 the Hon'ble Supreme (emphasis supplied) Court in

"Para 95. The police power of the State in respect of any committed in the State and the other part in another competence of the State. The State may exercise some State or some other States. In such an event the State extraterritorial jurisdiction only if a part of the offence is offence committed in a State comes within the legislative proceed with the consent of the State concerned or must has been committed in any (sic other) State may have to before an investigation to that part of the offence which work with the police of the other State. Its jurisdiction



of the Central Government is excluded." whatsoever that in the matter of investigation of the Schedule to the Constitution, there cannot be any doubt view the various entries contained in List I of the Seventh over the investigation into a matter is limited. Keeping in matter (sic offence) committed in a State. the jurisdiction

(emphasis supplied)

y) BECAUŞE the India overriding control over the State Police and its investigation cases Parliament has effectively created a "National Police" which, in Investigation Agency, for investigating the scheduled offences of Power as provided in Schedule committed creation which is contrary to the scheme and intention of Distribution colourable Ç. of an "investigative" investigation legislation since by way of the said in enactment of NIA Act by the parliament and any particular State, of scheduled offences, agency namely the National 7 is clearly of the Constitution of will have an Act, the act of

 $\mathbb{Z}$ BECAUSE the Hon'ble Supreme Court in from Sri SriSri K.C. has held that: Gajapati Narayan Deo v. State of Orissa, AIR 1953 SC 375

bong fides or mala fides on the part of the legislature. of colourable legislation does not involve any question of "Para 9. It may be made clear at the outset that the doctrine motive does



only a limited or a qualified jurisdiction. If the Constitution legally omnipotent like the British Parliament and the laws distinction, however, exists between a legislature which is constitutional or not is thus always a question of power which impelled it to act are really irrelevant. On the other of a particular legislature to enact a particular law. If the whole doctrine resolves itself into the question of competency transgression may be patent, manifest or direct, but it may it, transgressed the limits of its constitutional powers. Such bodies, which have to act within their respective spheres ground of incompetence, and a legislature which enjoys promulgated by it which could not be challenged on the [Vide Cooley's Constitutional Limitations, Vol 1 p 379] . A legislature is competent to pass a particular law, the motives class of cases that the expression "colourable legislation" has also be disguised, covert and indirect and it is to this latter the subject-matter of the statute or in the method of enacting limitations on the legislative authority in marked out by specific legislative entries, or if there are of a State distributes the legislative powers amongst different legislature in a particular case has or has not, in respect to fundamental rights, if the legislature lacks competency, the question of not arise at all. Whether questions do arise as to whether the the مه statute shape of

(C)

(<u>0</u>)

conveyed by the expression is that although apparently a been applied in certain judicial pronouncements. Ontario v. Reciprocal Insurers [1924 AC 328 at 337]: ....." what appears, on proper examination, to be a mere pretence transgressed these powers, the transgression being veiled by limits of its powers, yet in legislature in passing a statute purported to act within the disguise. As was said by Duff, J. in Attorney-General for substance and in (emphasis supplied) The idea

## 10. RELIEF(S) PRAYED FOR:

prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to: In the aforesaid facts and circumstances it is most respectfully

- a) Declare that the National Investigation Agency Act, 2008 or by issuing any other appropriate writ or order under Article unconstitutional and ultra vires, by issuing a writ of mandamus 226/227 of the Constitution of India;
- b) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, declare Section 6, 7, 8& 10 of the NIA appropriate writ or issuing Act, 2008 as being ultra vires to the Constitution of India by Constitution of India: घ writ of mandamus order under Article 226/227 or by issuing any of the other
- **C**) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate west or order quashing / setting aside the Order 11011/19/2019/NIA dated 16.05.2019 issued by

regarding Respondent Kuakonda, District - Dantewada, Chhattisgarh, FIR No. 11 / 2019 dated 10.04.2019 No. suomotu transferring the investigation at

- d) IN THE ALTERNATIVE, issue a writ of mandamusor any other appropriate writ or order restraining the Respondent No.2 doing "fresh" or "further" investigation of FIR No. 11 / 2019 NIA from exercising any power in pursuance of the NIA Act for dated 10.04.2019 Chhattisgarh;" at P.S. Kuakonda, District Dantewada,
- IN THE ALTERNATIVE, issue a writ of mandamus or any other frame appropriate writ or order directing the Respondent No. 1 to conferred under Section 25 (1) of the NIA Act, for exercise of powers under Section 6 (4) & 6 (5) of the NIA Act, 2008 appropriate rules / guidelines, in exercise of power
- Ð IN THE ALTERNATIVE, issue a writ of mandamus or any other frame appropriate rules / guidelines regulating the procedure appropriate writ or order directing the Respondent No. 1 to substantial progress has already been made the State Police for transfer of cases to Respondent No. 2 / NIA wherein
- g) IN THE ALTERANTIVE, issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondent No. 1 to frame appropriate guidelines for exercise Government or its Investigating Agency in cases where facts and facets emerge and which have not been investigated / powers vis-à-vis transfer of investigation to the

Dus.

investigation under Section 6 of the NIA Act; looked into by the Respondent No. 2 during the course of its

h) Pass any other order that this court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case.

Date 30/7/2019

(SUDEEP AGRAWAL Counsel for Petitioner

VWW.LIWETAW.IN



# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. \_\_\_\_\_/2019

PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami,

#### **VERSUS**

RESPONDENTS

Union of India & Ors.

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, Jhumar Oyami, S/o Bhima Oyami, aged about 48 years, (C.G.)do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as under: Gayatapara, Keshapur, Dantewada, District-South Bastar Dantewada R/o NH-23,

- That, I am the petitioner in the instant writ petition and as such am fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case
- 2 as per my instruction and all the contents of the same from Para 1 and belief and have been explained to me in hindi. to 10 are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge That, the attached writ petition has been drafted by my counsel





#### VERIFICATION

of the above Para 1 and 2 are true to my personal knowledge and belief. I, Jhumar Oyami, the deponent, do hereby verify that the contents

Verified and signed by me on 30/07/2019 at Bilaspur.

नम हिंद



## THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY ACT, 2008

### ARRANGEMENT OF SECTIONS

#### CHAPTER I

#### PRELIMINARY

#### SECTIONS

- Short title, extent and application.
- Definitions.

#### CHAPTER II

### NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY

- Constitution of National Investigation Agency.
  Superintendence of National Investigation Agency.
  Manner of constitution of Agency and conditions of service of members.

#### CHAPTER III

## INVESTIGATION BY THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY

- Investigation of Scheduled Offences.
- 7.6 Power to transfer investigation to State Government
- 00 Power to investigate connected offences.
- State Government to extend assistance to National Investigation Agency.
- 10 Power of State Government to investigate Scheduled Offences.

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

#### CHAPTER IV

#### SPECIAL COURTS

- Power of Central Government to constitute Special Courts.
- Place of sitting.
- Jurisdiction of Special Courts.
- 14. Powers of Special Courts with respect to other offences
- Public Prosecutors.
- 16. Procedure and powers of Special Courts
- Protection of witnesses.
- 8 Sanction for prosecution.
- 19. Trial by Special Court to have precedence
- Power to transfer cases to regular courts.
- Appeals.
- Power of State Government to constitute Special Courts



#### CHAPTER V

#### MISCELLANEOUS

- SECTIONS

  23. Power of High Courts to make rules.

  24. Power to remove difficulties.

  25. Power to make rules.

  26. Laying of rules.

  THE SCHEDULE.

## THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY ACT, 2008

ACT No. 34 of 2008

[31st December, 2008.]

An Act to constitute an investigation agency at the national level to investigate and prosecute other international organisations and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto relations with foreign States and offences affecting the sovereignty, security and integrity of India, security of State, friendly agreements, conventions and resolutions of the offences under Acts enacted to United Nations implement international

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Fifty-ninth Year of the Republic of India as follows:

#### CHAPTE'R I

- Agency Act, 2008. 1. Short title, extent and application. (1) This Act may be called the National Investigation
- (2) It extends to the whole of India and it applies also—
- (a) to citizens of India outside India;
- (b) to persons in the service of the Government wherever they may be; and
- (c) to persons on ships and aircrafts registered in India wherever they may be
- (1) In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires;
- (a) "Agency" means the National Investigation Agency constituted under section 3;
- (b) "Code" means the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (2 of 1974);
- (c) "High Court" means the High Court within whose jurisdiction the Special Court is situated;
- (d) "prescribed" means prescribed by rules;
- Public Prosecutor appointed under section 15; (e) "Public Prosecutor" means a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor or a Special
- (f) "Schedule" means the Schedule to this Act;
- (g) "Scheduled Offence" means an offence specified in the Schedule
- (h) "Special Court" means a Special Court constituted under section 11 or, as the case may be
- meanings respectively assigned to them in the Code (i) words and expressions used but not defined in this Act and defined in the Code shall have the
- law or the relevant provision of the corresponding law, if any, in force in that area. which such enactment or such provision is not in force, be construed as a reference to the corresponding (2) Any reference in this Act to any enactment or any provision thereof shall, in relation to an area in

#### CHAPTER II

### NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY

Schedule. Investigation 1861 (5 of 1861), the Central Government may constitute a special agency to be called the National 3. Constitution of National Investigation Agency. Agency for investigation and prosecution of offences under the Acts specified in the  $\dashv$ (1) Notwithstanding anything in the Police Act

- Agency shall have throughout India in relation to the investigation of Scheduled Offences and arrest of persons concerned in such offences, all the powers, duties, privileges and liabilities which police officers have in connection with the investigation of offences committed therein. (2) Subject to any orders which the Central Government may make in this behalf, officers of the
- exercising such powers shall, subject to any such orders as aforesaid, be deemed to be an officer-in-charge of a police station discharging the functions of such an officer within the limits of his station. (3) Any officer of the Agency of, or above, the rank of Sub-Inspector may, subject to any orders which the Central Government may make in this behalf, exercise throughout India, any of the powers of the officer-in-charge of a police station in the area in which he is present for the time being and when so
- shall vest in the Central Government. 4. Superintendence of National Investigation Agency. -(I) The superintendence of the Agency
- appointed in this behalf by the Central Government who shall exercise in respect of the Agency such of the powers exercisable by a Director-General of Police in respect of the police force in a State, as the Central Government may specify in this behalf. (2) The administration of the Agency shall vest in an officer designated as the Director-General
- provisions of this Act, the Agency shall be conditions of service of persons employed in the Agency shall be such as may be prescribed. Manner of constitution of Agency and conditions of service of members. constituted in such manner as may be prescribed and the -Subject to the

#### CHAPTER III

## INVESTIGATION BY THE NATIONAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY

- section 154 of the Code relating to any Scheduled Offence the officer-in-charge of the police station shall forward the report to the State Government forthwith. 6. Investigation of Scheduled Offences. (1) On receipt of information and recording thereof under
- the Central Government as expeditiously as possible (2) On receipt of the report under sub-section (1), the State Government shall forward the report to
- investigated by the Agency also whether, having regard to the gravity of the offence and other relevant factors, it is a fit case to be basis of information made available by the State Government or received from other sources, within fifteen days from the date of receipt of the report, whether the offence is a Scheduled Offence or not and (3) On receipt of report from the State Government, the Central Government shall determine on the
- fit case to be investigated by the Agency, it shall direct the Agency to investigate the said offence (4) Where the Central Government is of the opinion that the offence is a Scheduled Offence and it is a
- suo motu, direct the Agency to investigate the said offence that a Scheduled Offence has been committed which is required to be investigated under this Act, it may (5) Notwithstanding anything contained in this section, if the Central Government is of the opinion
- (6) Where any direction has been given under sub-section (4) or sub-section (5), the State Government and any police officer of the State Government investigating the offence shall not proceed with the investigation and shall forthwith transmit the relevant documents and records to the Agency
- the case, it shall be the duty of the officer-in-charge of the police station to continue the investigation (7) For the removal of doubts, it is hereby declared that till the Agency takes up the investigation of
- this Act, the Agency, having regard to the gravity of the offence and other relevant factors, may 7. Power to transfer investigation to State Government.-(a) if it is expedient to do so, request the State Government to associate itself with the -While investigating any offence under
- investigation; or the State
- (b) with the previous approval of the Centra Government for investigation and trial of the offence val of the Central Government, transfer the case ರ

- offence is connected with the Scheduled Offence Agency may also investigate any other offence which the accused is alleged to have committed if the Power to investigate connected offences.--While investigating any Scheduled Offence,
- Government shall extend all assistance and co-operation to the Agency for investigation of the Scheduled extend assistance to National Investigation Agency
- 10. Power of State Government to investigate Scheduled Offences.—Save as otherwise provided in this Act, nothing contained in this Act shall affect the powers of the State Government to investigate and prosecute any Scheduled Offence or other offences under any law for the time being in force.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### SPECIAL COURTS

- shall, by notification in the Official Gazette, for the trial of Scheduled Offences, constitute one or more the notification Special Courts for such area or areas, or for such case or class or group of cases, as may be specified in 11. Power of Central Government to constitute Special Courts. (I) The Central Government
- Central Government whose decision in the matter shall be final. (2) Where any question arises as to the jurisdiction of any Special Court, it shall be referred to the
- recommendation of the Chief Justice of the High Court. (3) A Special Court shall be presided over by a judge to be appointed by the Central Government on
- Judge to preside over the Special Court (4) The Agency may make an application to the Chief Justice of the High Court for appointment of a
- not later than seven days, recommend the name of a judge for being appointed to preside over the Special (5) On receipt of an application under sub-section (4), the Chief Justice shall, as soon as possible and
- Special Court, on the recommendation of the Chief Justice of the High Court (6) The Central Government may, if required, appoint an additional judge or additional judges to the
- (7) A person shall not be qualified for appointment as a judge or an additional judge of a Special Court unless he is, immediately before such appointment, a Sessions Judge or an Additional Sessions Indee in any State. Judge in any State.
- (8) For the removal of doubts, it is hereby provided that the attainment, by a person appointed as a judge or an additional judge of a Special Court, of the age of superannuation under the rules applicable to him in the service to which he belongs shall not affect his continuance as such judge or additional judge and the Central Government may by order direct that he shall continue as judge until a specified date or until completion of the trial of the case or cases before him as may be specified in that order.
- of the Special Court may, from time to time, by general or special order, in writing, provide for the distribution of business of the Special Court among all judges including himself and the additional judge or additional judges and also for the disposal of urgent business in the event of his absence or the absence of any additional judge. (9) Where any additional judge or additional judges is or are appointed in a Special Court, the judge
- place other than its ordinary place of sitting 12. Place of sitting.—A Special Court may, on its own motion, or on an application made by the Public Prosecutor and if it considers it expedient or desirable so to do, sit for any of its proceedings at any -A Special Court may, on its own motion, or on an application made by the
- jurisdiction it was committed. Scheduled Offence investigated by the Agency shall be tried only by the Special Court within whose local 13. Jurisdiction of Special Courts. (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code, every

- (2) If, having regard to the exigencies of the situation prevailing in a State if.
- (a) it is not possible to have a fair, impartial or speedy trial; or
- (b) it is not feasible to have the trial without occasioning the breach of peace or grave risk to the safety of the accused, the witnesses, the Public Prosecutor or a judge of the Special Court or any of
- (c) it is not otherwise in the interests of justice,

the Supreme Court may transfer any case pending before a Special Court to any other Special Court within that State or in any other State and the High Court may transfer any case pending before a Special Court situated in that State to any other Special Court within the State.

- application of the Central Government or a party interested and any such application shall be made by motion, which shall, except when the applicant is the Attorney-General for India, be supported by an (3) The Supreme Court or the High Court, as the case may be, may act under this section either on the
- 14. Powers of Special Courts with respect to other offences.—(1) When trying any offence, a Special Court may also try any other offence with which the accused may, under the Code be charged, at same trial if the offence is connected with such other offence
- committed any other offence under this Act or under any other law, the Special Court may convict such person of such other offence and pass any sentence or award punishment authorised by this Act or, as the case may be, under such other law. (2) If, in the course of any trial under this Act of any offence, it is found that the accused person has
- Public Prosecutors: 15. Public Prosecutors.—(1) The Central Government shall appoint a person to be Prosecutor and may appoint one or more persons to be the Additional Public Prosecutor or the Public Additional

Special Public Prosecutor. Provided that the Central Government may also appoint for any case or class or group of cases a

- (2) A person shall not be qualified to be appointed as a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor or a Special Public Prosecutor under this section unless he has been in practice as an Advocate for not less than seven years or has held any post, for a period of not less than seven years, under the Union or a State, requiring special knowledge of law.
- (3) Every person appointed as a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor or a Special Public Prosecutor under this section shall be deemed to be a Public Prosecutor within the meaning of clause (u) of section 2 of the Code, and the provisions of the Code shall have effect accordingly.
- offence, without the accused being committed to it for trial, upon receiving a complaint of facts that constitute such offence or upon a police report of such facts Procedure and powers of Special Courts-(1) A Special Court may take cognizance of any
- (2) Where an offence triable by a Special Court is punishable with imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years or with fine or with both, the Special Court may, notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1) of section 260 or section 262 of the Code, try the offence in a summary way in accordance with the procedure prescribed in the Code and the provisions of sections 263 to 265 of the Code shall, so far as may be, apply to such trial:

Court that the nature of the case is such that it is not desirable to try it in a summary way, the Special apply to, and in relation to, a Special Court as they apply to and in relation to a Magistrate: Court shall recall any witnesses who may have been examined and proceed to re-hear the case in the manner provided by the provisions of the Code for the trial of such offence and the said provisions shall Provided that when, in the course of a summary trial under this sub-section, it appears to the Special

fine which may extend to five lakh rupees Provided further that in the case of any conviction in a summary trial under this section, it shall be lawful for a Special Court to pass a sentence of imprisonment for a term not exceeding one year and with

- (3) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, a Special Court shall, for the purpose of trial of any offence, have all the powers of a Court of Session and shall try such offence as if it were a Court of Session so far as may be in accordance with the procedure prescribed in the Code for the trial before a Court of Session.
- (4) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, every case transferred to a Special Court under sub-section (2) of section 13 shall be dealt with as if such case had been transferred under section 406 of the Code to such Special Court.
- (5) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code. but subject to the provisions of section 299 of the Code, a Special Court may, if it thinks fit and for reasons to be recorded by it, proceed with the trial in the absence of the accused or his pleader and record the evidence of any witness, subject to the right of the accused to recall the witness for cross-examination.
- 17. Protection of witnesses.—(1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code, the proceedings under this Act may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, be held in camera if the Special Court so
- (2) On an application made by a witness in any proceeding before it or by the Public Prosecutor in relation to such witness or on its own motion, if the Special Court is satisfied that the life of such witness is in danger, it may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, take such measures as it deems fit for keeping the identity and address of such witness secret.
- measures which a Special Court may take under that sub-section may include (3) In particular, and without prejudice to the generality of the provisions of sub-section (2), the
- (a) the holding of the proceedings at a place to be decided by the Special Court:
- judgments or in any records of the case accessible to public; (b) the avoiding of the mention of the names and addresses of the witnesses in its orders or
- disclosed; and (c) the issuing of any directions for securing that the identity and address of the witnesses are not
- before such a Court shall not be published in any manner (d) a decision that it is in the public interest to order that all or any of the proceedings pending
- punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years and with fine which may extend to one thousand rupees (4) Any person who contravenes any decision or direction issued under sub-section (3) shall be
- 18. Sanction for prosecution.—No prosecution, suit or other legal proceedings shall be instituted in any court of law, except with the previous sanction of the Central Government, against any member of the Agency or any person acting on his behalf in respect of anything done or purported to be done in exercise of the powers conferred by this Act.
- 19. Trial by Special Court to have precedence.—The trial under this Act of any offence by a Special Court shall be held on day-to<sub>4</sub> day basis on all working days and have precedence over the trial of any other case against the accused in any other court (not being a Special Court) and shall be concluded in preference to the trial of such other case and accordingly the trial of such other case shall, if necessary, remain in abeyance
- the offence as if it had taken cognizance of the offence. jurisdiction under the Code and the Court to which the case is transferred may proceed with the jurisdiction to try such offence, Court is of the opinion that the offence is not triable by it, it shall, notwithstanding that it has no Power to transfer cases to regular courts. transfer the case for the trial of such offence to any court -Where, after taking cognizance of any offence, a having trial of

- judgment, sentence or order, not being an interlocutory order, of a Special Court to the High Court both (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code, an appeal shall lie from any
- (2) Every appeal under sub-section (1) shall be heard by a bench of two Judges of the High Court and shall, as far as possible, be disposed of within a period of three months from the date of admission of the on facts and on law.
- order including an interlocutory order of a Special Court (3) Except as aforesaid, no appeal or revision shall lie to any court from any judgment, sentence or
- lie to the High Court against an order of the Special Court granting or refusing bail (4) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (3) of section 378 of the Code, an appeal shall
- judgment, sentence or order appealed from: (5) Every appeal under this section shall be preferred within a period of thirty days from the date of

Provided that the High Court may entertain an appeal after the expiry of the said period of thirty days if it is satisfied that the appellant had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the period of

Provided further that no appeal shall be entertained after the expiry of period of ninety days

- constitute one or more Special Courts for the trial of offences under any or all the enactments specified in the Schedule 22. Power of State Government to constitute Special Courts.-(1) The State Government may
- (2) The provisions of this Chapter shall apply to the Special Courts constituted by the State Government under sub-section (I) and shall have effect subject to the following modifications, namely—
- State Government; (i) references to "Central Government" in sections 11 and 15 shall be construed as references to
- "investigation agency of the State Government"; as reference to "Advocate-General of the State" (ii) reference to "Agency" in sub-section (1) of section 13 shall be construed as a reference to the (iii) reference to "Attorney-General for India" in sub-section (3) of section 13 shall be construed
- by the State Government under sub-section (1) in the case of any offence punishable under this Act, notwithstanding anything contained in the Code, be exercised by the Court of Session of the division in which such offence has been committed and it shall have all the powers and follow the procedure provided under this Chapter. (3) The jurisdiction conferred by this Act on a Special Court shall, until a Special Court is constituted
- which it is constituted. (4) On and from the date when the Special Court is constituted by the State Government the trial of any offence investigated by the State Government under the provisions of this Act, which would have been required to be held before the Special Court, shall stand transferred to that Court on the date on

#### CHAPTER V

#### MISCELLANEOUS

- 23. Power of High Courts to make rules.—The High Court may, by notification in the Official Gazette, make such rules, as it may deem necessary for carrying out the provisions of this Act relating to Special Courts within its territory.
- 24. Power to remove difficulties.—(1) If any difficulty arises in giving effect to the provisions of this Act, the Central Government may, by order published in the Official Gazette make such provisions, not inconsistent with the provisions of this Act, as may appear to it to be necessary or expedient for removing the difficulty:

commencement of this Act. Provided that no order shall be made, under this section after the expiration of two years from the

- (2) Every order made under this section shall be laid, as soon as may be after it is made, before each House of Parliament.
- 25. Power to make rules.—(1) The Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, make rules for carrying out the provisions of this Act.
- provide for all or any of the following matters, namely: (2) In particular, and without prejudice to the generality to the foregoing power, such rules may
- the Agency under section 5; (a) the manner of constitution of the Agency and the conditions of service of persons employed in
- (b) any other matter which is required to be, or may be, prescribed.
- 26. Laying of rules.—Every rule made by the Central Government under this Act shall be laid, as soon as may be after it is made, before each House of Parliament, while it is in session, for a total period of thirty days which may be comprised in one session or in two or more successive sessions, and if, anything previously done under that rule both Houses agrees in making any modification in the rule or both Houses agree that the rule should not be made, the rule shall thereafter have effect only in such modified form or be of no effect, as the case may be; so, however, that any such modification or annulment shall be without prejudice to the validity of before the expiry of the session immediately following the session or the successive sessions aforesaid

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

#### THE SCHEDULE

[See section 2(/) (f)]

- 1. The Atomic Energy Act, 1962 (33 of 1962);
- 2. The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (37 of 1967);
- . The Anti-Hijacking Act, 1982 (65 of 1982);
- 4. The Suppression of Unlawful Acts against Safety of Civil Aviation Act, 1982 (66 of 1982);
- 5. The SAARC Convention (Suppression of Terrorism) Act, 1993 (36 of 1993);
- 6. The Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against Safety of Maritime Navigation and Fixed Platforms on Continental Shelf Act, 2002 (69 of 2002);
- 7. The Weapons of Mass Destruction and their Delivery Systems (Prohibition of Unlawful Activities) Act, 2005 (21 of 2005);

#### 8. Offences under-

- (a) Chapter VI of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860) [sections 121 to 130 (both inclusive)];
- (b) Sections 489-A to 489-E (both inclusive) of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860).

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

Takes (C)

ANNEXURE

Ministry of Home Attacks No Trottifficotomic Characteristic of holic CICK Division

OPILITY I

Olifoid the 16" May 2019 North Block New Dathi

under sections 147, 148 of Aims Adl, sections 3 & b of Laptodon Talindan The Minimula Activities (Proceedings) for 1967 of third to in 1615 that which occurred Mr. Brusema Mundayi along with tear redess perso indiscionimate firms by members of OPI than DODA 2010, under the builted provide validies of 11/2010 dated 10.04 July of Police Surioc Fusion to Section Contested Contested Christisger White the Cardrel appropriate the con-149, 302-306, 107, 1201 of the holon Penns Code, sections 25-8-27 5 Act and sections 13(1)(a), 38(1), 39(1) of the convey which led to death of and information anguirding registration of FIR therena Mandayi (MEA) followed

accordance with the National Investigation Agency of the offence it is required to be revertiget to by the fittional investigation Agency in Mattend investigation Agency Act 2018 has been And whoreas, the Central Government is 4.04E 1.57 The opinion that Scheduled Offence under compated and, having regard to the granty

Charaby directs the National Investigation Agency to take up revestigation of the aloresaid case read with enclion B of the National Investigation Now, therefore, in exercise of the power Agency Aut. 2008, the Central Government onferred under sub-section (5) of section 6

(Dharmender Kurnar)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

New Daini The Director General, National Investigation Agency, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road,

17115/11/ 1176

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

I.A. NO. / 2019

Z

WRIT PETITION (C) NO.\_\_\_\_\_/2019

PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami,

RESPONDENTS

Union of India &Ors

**VERSUS** 

## APPLICATION FOR INTERIM RELIEF

The petitioner humbly submits as under:-

- The subject matter of the present petition relates constitutional validity and vires of the National Investigation which was enacted by the Parliament in the year 2008 and was Agency Act, 2008 [hereinafter referred to as the "NIA Act"] brought in effect on 31.12.2008.
- 2 It is submitted that the grounds raised in the present petition present may kindly be read and considered as a part and parcel of the brevity and prolixity. therefore the same is not reproduced herein for the sake of application seeking grant of interim relief and
- ည It is submitted that, petitioner has challenged the constitutional validity of National Investigation Agency Act, 2008 and has prayed that by way of the present petition, the



as ultra vires to the Constitution of India. the said Act, in its present form and content, may be declared

- 4. It is respectfully submitted that till the time this Hon'ble Court Act, decides upon the validity of the National Investigation Agency the 6(5) of the NIA Act, has suomotu transferred the investigation Respondent, in purported exercise of power under Section 16.05.2019 issued by the Respondent No. 1 whereby the of FIR No. District - Dantewada, Chhattisgarh to Respondent No. 2 2008, this Hon'ble Court may grant an ad-interim stay of operation 11 / 2019 dated 10.04.2019 at P.S. Kuakonda, of0rder No. 11011/19/2019/NIA dated
- Ģ Ħ Petitioner having irreparable consequence in case the interim balance of convenience lies in favor of the petitioner. S. submitted that serious prejudice will be caused to the as prayed for, is not granted. It is submitted that the

#### PRAYER

It is therefore humbly prayed that:-

present application seeking ad-interim relief and stay the This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the 16.05.2019 issued by the Respondent No. 1 whereby the operation ofFIR No. 11 / 2019 dated 10.04.2019 at P.S. Kuakonda Respondent has suomotu transferred the investigation District -Dantewada, Chhattisgarh to Respondent No. 2; of OrderNo. 11011/19/2019/NIA dated



facts and circumstances of the case. Pass any other order that this court may deem fit in the

Date

SUDEEP AGRAWA Counsel for Petitioner





# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. \_\_\_\_\_/2019

PETITIONER

Jhumar Oyami,

#### RESPONDENTS

Union of India & Ors.

VERSUS

#### AFFIDAVIT

I, Jhumar Oyami, S/o Bhima Oyami, aged about 48 years, R/o NH-23, Gayatapara, Keshapur, (C.G.)do hereby solemnly affirm and state on oath as under: Dantewada, District-South Bastar Dantewada

- That, I am the petitioner in the instant writ petition and as such am fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case.
- 2 hindi. same from Para 1 to 5 are true and correct to the best of my by my counsel as per my instruction and all the contents of the That, the attached application for interim relief has been drafted personal knowledge and belief and have been explained to me in



#### VERIFICATION

of the above Para 1 and 2 are true to my personal knowledge and belief. Verified and signed by me on 30/07/2019 at Bilaspur. I, Jhumar Oyami, the deponent, do hereby verify that the contents

रामा है

I donn't od by Fro

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

#### VAKALATNAMA

							1								w R(C)
Accepted on aforesaid conditions	(Name in Full: -	and or	of the said counsel.  This Vakalatnama is executed on this 30 day of 0 キ 201ら	The undersigned shall bound by all whatsoever may be done in the aforesaid case (including any appeal or revision there from) for and on behalf of the undersigned by any	To receive any process of court (including any notice from any appeal or revisional court), to file any application(s), petition of pleadings, to file, produce or receive back any documents, to withdraw or compromise the proceedings, to refer any matter to arbitration, to deposit or withdraw any money, to execute any decree or order, to certify payment, and receive any money due under such decree or order.	SUDEE P AGRAWAL and in particular to do the following, namely:-	Thypical Oycimi do hereby appoint	I or We Thymore Oyami the above named	Non-Applicant	Respondent Union OF India and Others.	VERSUS	Applicant	Appellant	Petitioner Thymax Cyami	Case No. Of 2015

WWW.LIVELAW.IN

(SUDEEP AGRAWAL

(Counsel for Petitioner/Appellant/Applicant/Respondent/Non-Applicant)